

SEMESTER: SPRING 2009

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT
STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 29 COMPLETED FORMS: 17

June 2, 2009
12104410

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Youngberg, David V
COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
DEPT: Economics
COURSE: ECON 385 *international economic policy*
SECTION: 005

RESPONSE KEY

S . . . STRONGLY AGREE . . . (5)
. (4)
. (3)
. (2)
D . . . STRONGLY DISAGREE . . . (1)
NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	1	2	3	4	5
Am't of effort put into the course: 1 very low 5=very high	0	0	3	10	4
Times absent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more	5	6	2	2	0
Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A	0	0	0	8	9
Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad	1	9	6	1	0
Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4	0	1	4	11	1
Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other	1	1	5	10	0

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
1. Course re'mts were clearly stated in the syllabus	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	CLASS	4.94	5.0	0.25	17
	1.2	1.3	6.6	19.4	71.6	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.59	5.0	0.77	1,998
	0.7	1.2	4.5	16.9	76.5	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.68	5.0	0.68	23,997
	0.8	1.3	5.2	19.6	72.8	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.63	5.0	0.72	67,975
2. The course was well organized	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	CLASS	4.94	5.0	0.25	17
	1.5	2.9	9.3	22.8	63.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.44	5.0	0.89	1,979
	1.2	2.5	7.9	21.2	67.0	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.51	5.0	0.84	23,854
	1.5	2.9	8.8	23.0	63.8	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.45	5.0	0.88	67,672
3. The instructor explained the material clearly	0.0	0.0	5.9	35.3	58.8	0.0	CLASS	4.53	5.0	0.61	17
	2.4	3.4	11.9	24.3	58.0	0.1	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.32	5.0	0.97	1,988
	1.2	2.9	8.9	22.3	64.5	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.46	5.0	0.87	23,951
	1.7	3.6	10.5	24.0	60.1	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.37	5.0	0.93	67,867
4. Comments and suggestions on returned material helpfu	0.0	0.0	5.9	23.5	70.6	0.0	CLASS	4.65	5.0	0.61	17
	2.7	5.1	11.5	21.5	50.5	8.7	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.23	5.0	1.06	1,978
	1.8	3.3	9.4	20.3	60.9	4.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.41	5.0	0.93	23,876
	2.3	4.0	10.5	20.5	55.1	7.6	UNIVERSITY	4.32	5.0	1.00	67,583
5. The instructor showed respect for students	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	CLASS	4.94	5.0	0.25	17
	0.8	1.4	4.4	14.3	79.1	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.70	5.0	0.69	1,987
	0.8	1.2	3.3	12.1	82.5	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.74	5.0	0.65	23,857
	0.9	1.4	4.1	14.3	79.3	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.70	5.0	0.70	67,607
6. The instructor was accessible in person or electron	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	76.5	0.0	CLASS	4.76	5.0	0.43	17
	1.1	1.9	7.0	18.2	68.8	3.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.56	5.0	0.80	1,980
	0.9	1.6	5.4	15.4	75.3	1.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.65	5.0	0.74	23,835
	1.0	1.8	6.1	17.4	71.6	2.1	UNIVERSITY	4.60	5.0	0.77	67,474
7. The instructor followed the stated crs. grading p'l	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	88.2	0.0	CLASS	4.88	5.0	0.35	17
	0.5	1.3	4.0	16.8	75.3	2.1	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.69	5.0	0.66	1,971
	0.5	0.8	4.1	15.1	78.0	1.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.72	5.0	0.63	23,884
	0.6	0.9	4.4	16.3	73.5	4.2	UNIVERSITY	4.68	5.0	0.67	67,661
8. The exams reflected what was covered in the course	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	CLASS	4.94	5.0	0.25	17
	1.2	2.6	7.0	18.0	65.3	5.8	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.52	5.0	0.85	1,975
	0.9	1.6	5.1	15.2	62.4	14.8	COL/SCHL/INST	4.60	5.0	0.77	23,650
	1.1	2.0	5.9	15.9	57.8	17.2	UNIVERSITY	4.54	5.0	0.84	67,061
9. The as'mnts helped me learn the material	0.0	0.0	5.9	11.8	82.4	0.0	CLASS	4.76	5.0	0.56	17
	2.2	3.6	8.9	20.7	56.3	8.4	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.37	5.0	0.98	1,984
	1.7	3.1	8.6	21.5	62.1	3.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.43	5.0	0.91	23,843
	2.0	3.5	9.4	22.1	58.5	4.6	UNIVERSITY	4.38	5.0	0.95	67,439
10. Readings helped me understand the course topic	0.0	5.9	5.9	35.3	52.9	0.0	CLASS	4.35	5.0	0.87	17
	2.1	3.9	10.4	24.2	56.9	2.6	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.33	5.0	0.96	1,979
	1.7	3.2	9.9	22.4	60.4	2.5	COL/SCHL/INST	4.40	5.0	0.92	23,765
	2.2	3.7	11.4	23.1	54.3	5.3	UNIVERSITY	4.30	5.0	0.98	67,309

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Youngberg, David V
 COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
 DEPT: Economics
 COURSE: ECON 385
 SECTION: 005

RESPONSE KEY

S . . . STRONGLY AGREE . . . (5)
 (4)
 (3)
 (2)
 D . . STRONGLY DISAGREE . . (1)
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . (6)

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
11. Ass'mnts and exams were returned properly	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	88.2	0.0	CLASS	4.88	5.0	0.35	17
	0.9	2.2	6.0	19.0	69.7	2.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.58	5.0	0.78	1,971
	1.4	2.2	7.6	20.3	66.6	1.9	COL/SCHL/INST	4.51	5.0	0.85	23,751
	1.7	2.5	7.8	20.1	62.0	5.9	UNIVERSITY	4.47	5.0	0.89	67,198
12. The ins'tr covered the im'p aspect of the course	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	88.2	0.0	CLASS	4.88	5.0	0.35	17
	1.1	1.8	5.8	18.7	72.5	0.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.60	5.0	0.77	1,985
	0.8	1.3	5.3	17.3	75.1	0.3	COL/SCHL/INST	4.65	5.0	0.71	23,898
	0.9	1.6	6.2	20.2	70.7	0.4	UNIVERSITY	4.59	5.0	0.75	67,705
13. The ins'tr made the class intellectually stimulating	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	CLASS	4.94	5.0	0.25	17
	3.4	3.3	10.6	20.7	62.0	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.34	5.0	1.02	1,980
	2.3	3.4	9.2	19.6	65.4	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.42	5.0	0.96	23,819
	3.1	4.2	11.0	21.3	60.1	0.2	UNIVERSITY	4.31	5.0	1.03	67,510
14. The ins'tr encouraged the students to be involved	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	82.4	0.0	CLASS	4.82	5.0	0.35	17
	3.0	4.3	11.6	21.9	56.9	2.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.28	5.0	1.04	1,977
	1.4	2.5	7.5	17.7	70.4	0.5	COL/SCHL/INST	4.54	5.0	0.85	23,736
	2.0	3.4	9.1	19.5	65.2	0.7	UNIVERSITY	4.43	5.0	0.94	67,268

OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE
 PERCENTAGES

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) POOR	(2)	(3) OK	(4)	(5) EX'L	(6) NA					
15. My overall rating of the teaching	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	CLASS	4.81	5.0	0.37	16
	2.3	3.1	11.2	23.4	59.9	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.36	5.0	0.96	1,966
	1.6	2.4	9.5	21.9	64.7	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.46	5.0	0.88	23,607
	1.9	2.9	11.1	23.8	60.2	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.37	5.0	0.93	66,879
16. My overall rating of this course	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	CLASS	4.80	5.0	0.38	15
	2.2	4.1	15.4	28.6	49.7	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.19	4.0	0.99	1,947
	1.8	3.7	14.4	27.3	52.8	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.26	5.0	0.96	23,382
	2.6	4.3	15.9	27.9	49.4	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.17	4.0	1.01	66,315

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.