

SEMESTER: SUMMER 2010

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT
STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 24 COMPLETED FORMS: 18

August 17, 2010
45001050

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Youngberg, David V
COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
DEPT: Economics
COURSE: ECON 103 *principles of micro*
SECTION: C02

RESPONSE KEY

S. STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5)
. (4)
. (3)
. (2)
D. STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1)
NA NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	1	2	3	4	5
Am't of effort put into the course: 1 very low 5=very high	0	0	7	4	7
Times absent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more	16	2	0	0	0
Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A	0	0	3	6	9
Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad	0	2	8	6	1
Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4	0	1	5	8	2
Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other	3	0	3	12	0

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES					AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S					
1. Course requirements and expectations were clear	0.0	0.0	5.6	5.6	88.9	0.0	4.83	5.0	0.54	18
	1.1	1.9	6.1	19.2	71.7	0.0	4.58	5.0	0.79	360
	0.9	1.6	4.8	18.2	74.5	0.0	4.64	5.0	0.73	3,713
	0.7	1.6	5.6	19.9	72.3	0.0	4.62	5.0	0.72	8,648
2. The course was well organized	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1	83.3	0.0	4.78	5.0	0.54	18
	0.6	4.3	8.0	24.7	62.5	0.0	4.44	5.0	0.86	352
	1.1	2.7	6.3	20.0	69.8	0.0	4.55	5.0	0.82	3,682
	0.9	2.2	6.9	20.9	69.1	0.0	4.55	5.0	0.79	8,530
3. The instructor helped me to better understand the course material	0.0	0.0	5.6	16.7	77.8	0.0	4.72	5.0	0.59	18
	0.8	2.0	9.5	24.4	63.0	0.3	4.47	5.0	0.81	357
	0.9	1.9	6.3	20.2	70.6	0.1	4.58	5.0	0.77	3,704
	0.9	1.9	6.6	20.9	69.4	0.2	4.56	5.0	0.77	8,622
4. Feedback (comments and suggestions written on papers, solutions provided, class disc, etc) was helpful	0.0	0.0	16.7	22.2	61.1	0.0	4.44	5.0	0.77	18
	1.1	4.5	10.2	27.4	52.0	4.8	4.31	5.0	0.93	354
	1.3	2.9	8.6	20.1	65.2	1.9	4.48	5.0	0.87	3,682
	1.2	2.5	7.8	20.5	63.2	4.8	4.49	5.0	0.85	8,518
5. The instructor showed respect for students	0.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	81.3	0.0	4.75	5.0	0.58	16
	0.6	0.9	2.9	13.9	81.4	0.3	4.75	5.0	0.61	345
	1.1	0.9	3.1	10.8	84.0	0.0	4.76	5.0	0.66	3,688
	0.7	0.8	3.2	11.2	84.2	0.0	4.77	5.0	0.61	8,568
6. The instructor was accessible in person or electronically	0.0	0.0	5.6	22.2	72.2	0.0	4.67	5.0	0.59	18
	0.3	0.9	3.1	18.9	75.7	1.1	4.71	5.0	0.60	350
	0.5	1.3	3.8	14.3	78.3	1.8	4.71	5.0	0.65	3,680
	0.4	1.1	3.7	14.3	77.8	2.7	4.73	5.0	0.63	8,464
7. The course grading policy was clear	0.0	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	0.0	4.67	5.0	0.69	18
	1.1	1.9	3.9	20.3	72.7	0.0	4.62	5.0	0.75	359
	1.0	1.6	6.1	17.8	73.2	0.2	4.61	5.0	0.77	3,720
	0.7	1.5	5.8	17.8	72.8	1.4	4.63	5.0	0.73	8,619
8. Graded work reflected what was covered in the course	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1	83.3	0.0	4.78	5.0	0.54	18
	0.0	1.7	5.4	21.2	71.1	0.6	4.63	5.0	0.67	349
	0.6	1.7	5.1	17.7	74.3	0.5	4.64	5.0	0.71	3,669
	0.6	1.3	5.1	17.7	71.3	4.1	4.65	5.0	0.70	8,468
9. The assignments helped me learn the material	0.0	0.0	11.1	27.8	61.1	0.0	4.50	5.0	0.73	18
	1.1	2.5	8.2	20.4	63.2	4.5	4.49	5.0	0.85	353
	1.2	2.4	7.3	20.2	67.4	1.5	4.53	5.0	0.83	3,671
	0.9	2.1	7.1	21.0	67.2	1.7	4.54	5.0	0.79	8,514
10. The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	4.44	5.0	0.69	18
	1.4	0.8	8.5	24.0	63.8	1.4	4.50	5.0	0.80	354
	1.6	3.0	8.9	21.1	62.8	2.6	4.44	5.0	0.90	3,657
	1.5	3.0	9.2	22.5	60.3	3.6	4.42	5.0	0.90	8,457

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Youngberg, David V
 COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
 DEPT: Economics
 COURSE: ECON 103
 SECTION: C02

RESPONSE KEY

S STRONGLY AGREE . . . (5)
 (4)
 (3)
 (2)
 D . . . STRONGLY DISAGREE . . (1)
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . (6)

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
11. Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	94.4	0.0	CLASS	4.89	5.0	0.49	18
	1.1	0.3	3.2	11.8	83.3	0.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.76	5.0	0.63	348
	1.2	1.2	5.0	15.5	76.3	0.9	COL/SCHL/INST	4.66	5.0	0.74	3,655
	1.0	1.1	4.7	15.3	70.8	6.9	UNIVERSITY	4.65	5.0	0.73	8,431
12. The instructor covered the important aspects of the course	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	94.4	0.0	CLASS	4.89	5.0	0.49	18
	0.0	1.1	5.0	18.5	75.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.68	5.0	0.62	357
	0.4	1.1	4.4	16.9	76.9	0.3	COL/SCHL/INST	4.69	5.0	0.64	3,691
	0.5	1.1	4.5	17.5	76.1	0.4	UNIVERSITY	4.68	5.0	0.66	8,560
13. The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating	0.0	0.0	16.7	5.6	77.8	0.0	CLASS	4.61	5.0	0.77	18
	1.4	2.0	7.6	25.7	63.0	0.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.47	5.0	0.83	354
	1.6	2.4	6.7	18.5	70.7	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.54	5.0	0.85	3,655
	1.5	2.3	7.4	19.7	69.0	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.53	5.0	0.84	8,466
14. The instr encouraged the students to be actively involved in material throug disc, assig, & other activ	0.0	0.0	5.6	5.6	88.9	0.0	CLASS	4.83	5.0	0.54	18
	0.9	2.9	7.2	23.4	65.0	0.6	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.50	5.0	0.82	346
	1.0	1.7	5.5	16.7	74.8	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.63	5.0	0.76	3,633
	0.7	1.5	5.6	16.7	75.3	0.2	UNIVERSITY	4.65	5.0	0.71	8,398

ITEMS	OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) POOR	(2)	(3) OK	(4)	(5) EX'L	(6) NA					
15. My overall rating of the teaching	0.0	0.0	5.6	22.2	72.2	0.0	CLASS	4.67	5.0	0.59	18
	1.1	2.8	10.0	25.6	60.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.41	5.0	0.87	351
	1.4	2.1	7.4	19.9	69.2	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.53	5.0	0.83	3,655
	1.1	1.8	6.9	21.0	69.3	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.56	5.0	0.79	8,479
16. My overall rating of this course	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	CLASS	4.50	4.5	0.54	18
	1.2	2.6	12.6	33.1	50.4	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.29	5.0	0.87	341
	1.4	2.4	11.5	28.3	56.4	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.36	5.0	0.88	3,550
	1.2	2.4	11.0	27.2	58.2	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.39	5.0	0.86	8,195

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.